



ELSEVIER

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Cleaner Production xx (2006) 1–5

**Journal of
Cleaner
Production**
www.elsevier.com/locate/jclepro

Sustainable development in practice

 Woodrow Clark ^{a,*}, Henrik Lund ^b
^a *Environmental and Energy Finance, Milken Institute, Santa Monica, CA, USA*
^b *Department of Development and Planning, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark*

Accepted 3 February 2006

1. Introduction to this special issue of the Journal of Cleaner Production pertaining to new pathways to the future

This special issue of the Journal of Cleaner Production (JCP) contains selected papers from the European Environment Sustainability Conference, which was held in Aalborg, Denmark on May 2005. In general the conference posed the question: “Will the business community enter Sustainable Partnerships?” These conference papers have a focus on sustainability related to the issue of Climate Change. The concept of sustainability refers to the Brundtland Report from 1987 [1] as generally covering issues of environment, global warming and energy such that economic development must be mindful of its surroundings including those who work in any institutions (businesses, public sector and non-profits). This and subsequent reports on sustainability were often vague and theoretical only. Thus, the field of sustainable development needed practical “cases” from which to define and illustrate through actions, what was implied by that 1987 report.

Without repeating various theoretical views of sustainable development and the related areas of industrial ecology, design manufacturing or symbiosis, sustainability in the context of businesses now has increasingly strong backing and support from both public policy and private sectors. For example Romm [2] argues that better management within companies can produce more environmentally sensitive, if not clean industrial operations. “*Every company can increase its profits and productivity dramatically by reducing pollution*” [2] which is most likely true and well-worth advocating as part of the industrial ecology approach to the global environment. Nonetheless, there are many other economic analysts who make the same point in reference to general business practices.

* Corresponding author. 115 North Doheny Drive, Flt #305, Los Angeles, CA 90048, USA.

E-mail addresses: wclark13@aol.com (W. Clark), lund@plan.aau.dk (H. Lund).

The basic point is, nevertheless, significant: changes in the management of companies can be both profitable and environmentally sound. This is a significant issue debated by most corporate Boards of Directors under one form or another of “corporate governance”. Today, even investment, venture and pension funds enact policies about financing “sustainable development entities”. However, management within industry seldom appears to be concerned or motivated enough to assure “responsible corporate” decision-making about the environment. This is changing rapidly. In 1995, Porter and van der Linde argued from a case in Holland, that in order to have environmentally responsible companies, there must be some form of governmental regulation or guidelines [3]. In short, an “environmental” “invisible hand” left to corporation good will or markets is suspected. Governments must partner and play an active role.

In a classic volume on “Industrial Ecology”, Graedel and Allenby (1994) placed the economics of industrial ecology directly on the shoulders of businesses, “especially product and process design” [4]. In other words, if companies consider what they produce, manufacture and distribute, then they will make environmentally “friendly” goods and services. Again, while this perspective is important, it does not cover the basic concern for how businesses introduce new technologies that are environmentally friendly and sufficient to reverse or solve the tremendous global ecological and social problems.

Several studies, including [3] suggest that nations can have competitive advantages if they follow certain courses of action leading to sustainable economies. Other scholars [6], especially Saxenian [5] argues that competitive advantage can be seen regionally, as she compared the high-tech areas of Silicon Valley in California to Route #128 surrounding Boston. Her work identified a number of elements that distinguished Silicon Valley from Route #128, and hence made it far more competitive and ultimately successful. One item, in particular, was the sustainable environment in which people worked. In Silicon Valley the workplace was comfortable, almost campus-like with causal dress, informal rooms, recreational fields and gyms as well as day care for children. Route #128 was

115 far more formal and rigid in both its environment and behavior
116 toward employees.

117 By identifying the characteristics of a region, economic an-
118 alysts build models and economic programs for any region that
119 increasingly focuses upon sustainability issues including the
120 environment, goods, services, products as well as the working
121 environment of the workforce.

122 Nevertheless, the creation or expansion of business activi-
123 ties fails to be explained solely on the products and the
124 work environment. If new technology is the impetus for new
125 business, then its commercialization is never addressed. Entre-
126 preneurial and growing companies need sustainable technol-
127 ogy(s) to advance and compete. Thus, on a micro-economic
128 level, business creation must be linked with macro-economic
129 policies, plans and programs. In Europe, this is often done
130 through the creation of “Science Parks” which are very differ-
131 ent from industrial parks, incubators and the like as they have
132 evolved in the USA [7,8].

133 One of the most compelling technology regions for envi-
134 ronmentally sound technologies (ESTs), for example, has
135 been cited in the literature is the city of Kalundborg, outside
136 Copenhagen, Denmark. Here the concept of “Industrial Sym-
137 biosis” was created and has been in operation for over 35
138 years. Basically, the community is organized as a public–
139 private partnership around six industries, where one industry’s
140 waste is another’s raw material for manufacturing. Thus, the
141 entire community has become more sustainable with little
142 waste and degradation to the environment. Since then other
143 communities are trying to replicate this cooperative effort. In
144 the US, communities are seeking to implement “Eco Indus-
145 trial Parks” around this same conceptual framework.

146 The first paper in this special issue, the Könnölä et al. pa-
147 per, considers such a community approach. The authors note
148 that the hydrogen economy promises to be an important and
149 viable alternative to fossil fuel based systems. But there are
150 considerable “lock-in” barriers from both the public and pri-
151 vate sectors. The use of an integrative policy tool known as the
152 prospective voluntary agreement (PVA) is advocated. The re-
153 sult is the creation of Techno-Institutional Complexes (TICs)
154 for large energy infrastructures as well as the managerial sys-
155 tems needed to establish the hydrogen economy. The same
156 process systems can be used for the automobile and personal
157 computers.

158 The approach is voluntary and evolves into a public–
159 private partnership whereby barriers for new policies and
160 programs are discussed and resolved, collaboratively. The pol-
161 icy-making issues may not be the only change from public
162 entities such as the energy sector becoming “privatized”
163 into businesses or de-regulated “markets” for entrepreneurs.
164 California demonstrated how this did not work in its energy
165 crisis from 2000–2002. In large, the problem with California
166 was basically that the State gave the role in oversight and plan-
167 ning [9].

168 Another paper (David Toke) discusses these issues as they
169 developed very differently in the UK. However, Könnölä et al.
170 raise questions about a voluntary approach based on “market
171 forces”. But clearly, the authors argue for the need to create

172 a public–private partnership to create a “road map” which
173 is sustainable and lasting over time with subsequent safe
174 guards and oversight, since all the main “actors” play a con-
175 tinuing and active role in creating and maintaining it.

176 The third paper, authored by Sookkumnerd et al., on the
177 other hand, discusses how a new technological approach to
178 the rice milling industry in Asia is sustainable in that it uses
179 waste from rice for generating energy for the mill. Thus,
180 a husk-fuelled steam engine in Thailand is sustainable in terms
181 of its social and economic impacts. Traditionally, rice mill
182 husking was done through either an electric or a mechanical
183 process. In the electrical rice mill, all equipment is powered
184 by electricity. In the husk-fuelled rice mill, the heat combus-
185 tion of rice husk converts water into steam. In the steam en-
186 gine, the thermal energy of the steam is converted into
187 mechanical power and the generated mechanical power is
188 used to drive the milling equipment directly without convert-
189 ing it into electrical energy. This is sustainable development
190 through the reuse of waste materials for energy and hence is
191 a sustainable business model.

192 Since May 2002, Sookkumnerd notes that electricity dis-
193 tributors in Thailand have allowed renewable energy pro-
194 ducers of up to 1 MW in size to connect their generators to
195 the power grid. Thus, the renewable energy producers receive
196 an attractive long term and government backed retail tariff rate
197 for the electricity they feed into the grid. The husk-fuelled rice
198 mill consumes only 52.3% of the generated husk from the rice
199 milling process. However, by allowing additional small gener-
200 ators to connect to the grid, additional income opportunities
201 are created for the husk-fuelled steam engine owners. They
202 are able to generate not only mechanical power for the rice
203 process itself, but also electricity for the power grid. Similar
204 cleaner production by the use of Combined Heat and Power
205 (CHP) has been implemented and the appropriate market de-
206 sign has been discussed in various other countries especially
207 in the Nordic and in the former East European countries
208 [10–19].

209 Furthermore, the entire process is sustainable since a larger
210 amount of husk than 52.3% is converted to energy generation
211 purposes. When steam engines are used with a grid-connected
212 generator, an alternative design concept for a steam engine
213 rice mill is made. Instead of transmitting mechanical energy
214 to the milling equipment, the steam engine is connected to
215 an electricity generator, which supplies the electrical power
216 to the rice mill and also feeds surplus electricity to the utility
217 grid.

218 In the next paper, authored by Nordheim and Barrasso, sus-
219 tainable development is discussed in the aluminum industry,
220 from an entirely different perspective. Theirs is a consideration
221 of an entire industry known for environmental degradation and
222 what it can do to be more environmentally friendly. In 2001,
223 the European aluminum industry, represented by the European
224 Aluminum Association through its Executive Committee and
225 General Assembly, embarked on a sustainable development
226 program for those companies active on the European market.

227 One of the reasons for embarking on such an initiative was
228 to demonstrate that the challenges presented to companies on

their social and environmental impacts are clearly signaling an era of heightened accountability and corporate governance. Sustainable development is, in other words, the responsibility of large companies both externally with their surroundings and internally with their management. The industry's objective was to address the issues in an open, inclusive and pro-active, responsible way in order to align the industry with the 21st century standards of corporate governance. Moreover, this signaled a significant shift from passive to active responsibility for the corporate environment.

The aluminum industry initiated the work on sustainability by developing an indicator set (SDI). There were already a number of such available indicators from different organizations. One of the challenges for the individual plants was to integrate sustainable development into continuous improvement of their business processes.

A number of key initial requirements were identified for creating an "Aluminum SDI" that included the following:

- not more than 30 indicators in total;
- well-balanced between environmental, social and economic parameters;
- relevant for the aluminum industry;
- relevant for external stakeholders;
- quantitatively measurable and/or qualitatively descriptive;
- possible to aggregate at European level; and
- data available or possible to generate.

In order to progress with these starting requirements, the industry engaged two experienced external institutes. The programs have been established, tested and demonstrated. Now they are being implemented with results measured over a longer period of time. The current plan is to conduct new industry surveys every 3 years, therefore, the next phase will commence in 2006 and will use industry data from 2005. Finally, the European aluminum industry's intention is to ensure that this remains a dynamic and continuous process, and that the sustainability surveys are the basis for a continued stakeholder dialogue.

Investment in renewable energy, financial support and cost-effectiveness is the focus of Toke's paper. The objective of this paper is to assess the effectiveness of the UK's "Renewable Obligation" (RO) in achieving two key objectives. The first objective is to have the cost-effective deployment of renewable energy. That is to consider renewable energy a prime goal of society and hence it is backed by the government. The second is to have an effective partnership between the government and business at trans-national and local levels. Thus, there needs to be a discussion of the effectiveness of other renewable electricity procurement mechanisms like those developed in other countries.

The setting of goals like the RO is an important topic since renewable energy is a key part of the strategy to combat climate change and to promote sustainability. Yet, at the same time, there is great pressure to minimize the costs for renewable energy generation. In point of fact, reducing carbon dioxide emissions will cost money but can be mitigated if both

government and private companies collaborate for mutually agreed upon sustainable goals, then much can be achieved.

Nonetheless, there are arguments between the public and private sectors about whether the procurement systems should be, for example, "fixed price" or market based; and whether one country should move to a pan-European market for renewable energy offering the same incentives to all countries. The continued concern is over costs; which type of policy can achieve greatest deployment at least cost? Given that the Renewable Obligation (RO) is the largest functioning green electricity market system for renewable energy, it is important to assess its effectiveness according to different criteria. RO penalizes suppliers for not meeting their quota to supply renewable power. Hence RO Certificates (ROC) have been created and perhaps can be traded.

Other countries, regions and states have similar programs but often without enforcement or regulation. Many US states have Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS), which are either backed with long-term government contracts or enforcement for delivery of supplies.

In Germany, there are the Feed in Laws (REFIT) which use capital costs to offset tax incentives. They provide long-term agreements and guarantees. The concept of a pan-European green electricity market is interesting but difficult to implement both equally and fairly, as the markets develop and government enforcement is sporadic. The problem with nationalism, as Toke notes, is that it leaves, as has been found in the US, most of the pro-active programs for renewable energy to the local levels where policies can best be overseen and ownership is local.

The next paper addresses the regional approach to power generation and hence sustainability in communities and nation-states. It's authors Andersen and Lund discuss Combined Heat and Power (CHP) as partnerships for balancing renewable production and therefore, being more sustainable in both business operations and environmental mitigation contexts. European Energy Policies have given priority to the fight against global warming and promotion of sustainable development. Both energy efficiency and the development of new and renewable energy technologies are considered key elements in the change. They are the challenge in Europe, if it is to meet or extend the Kyoto Accords. Thus, replacement of boilers and power stations with CHP-units and an increase in the share of Renewable Energy Systems (RES) in the energy supply are objectives to the European Union and the need for integration of the two have previously been investigated [15–19].

The implementation of such policies results in an increased share of distributed power generation. Regions, communities and areas including farms and shopping centers are more likely to have much higher than the average of CHP-units and to therefore, achieve RES standards. For instance, the objective of increasing the average share of RES electricity production to 22% is distributed among the EU member states resulting in RES percentages between 6 and 78%. Meanwhile, large-scale integration of RES and distributed generation raises the problem of finding balance between electricity demand and production. The EU targets for the deployment of CHP

343 and renewable energy will only be achieved if this balance can
344 be found throughout the EU.

345 In the final paper, Clark argues for partnerships to form sus-
346 sustainable agile communities since sustainable development is
347 far more likely on the regional level. According to Clark
348 and Bradshaw “Agile energy systems” [9] are flexible and
349 adaptive to change effectively and efficiently for sustainable
350 economic, environmental and social benefits that impact the
351 triple bottom line. The formation of a new association of agile
352 communities, cities and nation-states is useful to plan public
353 policies and to create the “government market drivers” for
354 procurement, long-term contracts and coordination of public
355 resources for renewable energy onsite and central grid power
356 generation.

357 Governments, at all levels, need to implement public poli-
358 cies that create and oversee “agile communities”. In short,
359 governments must recognize the diversity of communities
360 not only in terms of energy needs, but also in identifying the
361 sources of energy production. In every region and community
362 of the world, there are different strengths in natural and sus-
363 sustainable sources for energy.

364 In the end, agile communities must also develop waste, wa-
365 ter, transportation and telecommunications for their regions.
366 These communities need to be interconnected with other com-
367 munities, which redefine the conventional central power plants
368 that exist today. The historical role of central energy plants
369 must change. Downsizing, providing back up and redundant
370 power, onsite energy generation, among other things, are
371 new and different roles for “public” and now “private” power
372 utility companies. Today, the immediate transition to the agile
373 communities will be difficult and painful (smaller companies
374 mean reduced workforce and income), but necessary for
375 a less polluted environment and for cleaner, more sustainable
376 world for tomorrow’s generations.

378 2. Conclusions to the roads along the pathway

380 Popular magazines and professional journals are increas-
381 ingly recognizing the need to consider sustainable develop-
382 ment at all levels. Here, we have presented a set of papers
383 that cover issues concerning sustainable development in com-
384 munities, regions, manufacturing and corporate associations.
385 Everyone is attempting to tackle the issues within the global
386 and institutional concerns set forth in the late 1980s. With
387 UN, Kyoto, US states (like California since the US national
388 government has not complied), and EU mandates, partnerships
389 are forming that address the solutions to global warming and
390 climate change. Sustainable development can be a large part
391 of the solution to these facts about the earth.

392 Könnölä focuses on the voluntary changes needed from
393 market forces that have evolved from governmental regula-
394 tions. The “lock-in” barriers to the hydrogen economy can
395 be significant. The debate is basically, as Jeremy Rifkin ar-
396 gues, between “black and green hydrogen” [20]. The former
397 is reformulated from fossil fuels, coal and nuclear sources;
398 while the latter is electrolyzed from renewable energy sources
399 such as water, geothermal gases or electricity from the sun,

400 wind or biomass. In the end, voluntary changes will not be
401 enough in terms of consistent policies that are made and en-
402 forced as well as in monetary support via long-term contracts,
403 standards and enforcement.

404 The discussion by Sookkumnerd on rice husk as a renew-
405 able energy source is significant on many levels. The fact
406 that waste from a process can be part of a solution, in this
407 case renewable energy for the mill, illustrates how sustainable
408 business operations can work, be cost effective and friendly to
409 the environment. What is even more significant is that the con-
410 cepts are not costly or even “advanced” technologies. The in-
411 ternal rate of return is excellent. And there is extra power that
412 is sold from the rice mill plant to others in the surrounding
413 community. The argument is to simply look at and understand
414 a problem (waste and energy) and finding practical solutions.

415 Nordheim and Barrasso demonstrate that the aluminum in-
416 dustry is committed to the concept of sustainable development
417 and is prepared to show its stakeholders how the industry is
418 progressing to establish and use a set of indicators that are rel-
419 evant for their particular industry. With the experience gained
420 from their first survey and the recent round of stakeholder
421 workshops, the industry is in the process of refining its indica-
422 tors to fulfill the following recommendations: eliminate certain
423 indicators deemed to be less relevant and difficult to answer,
424 and to include certain indicators agreed upon during the stake-
425 holder’s discussions where some felt it important for the indus-
426 try to report.

427 Toke’s discussion of renewable energy costs with reliance
428 on procurement mechanism and “combination of incentives
429 and tax regimes” is critical to achieve sustainable energy sour-
430 ces. Market-based schemes create limited, protected markets
431 for renewable energy. Governments must obligate the sup-
432 pliers to produce ever-increasing portions of their electrons
433 from renewable generation. As Toke puts it, “Just because
434 we set up a market, this does not mean this will be a perfectly
435 competitive market where Adam Smith’s ‘magic hand’ con-
436 jures the most resource-efficient outcome. On the other
437 hand, experience suggests that well-designed, market based
438 obligation procurement regimes, can work effectively”. As
439 an article in the *Economists* noted “Large bits of Britain’s
440 power-generation capacity will disappear over the next de-
441 cade. That will test the government’s commitment to markets”
442 [21]. But the government’s test of markets is to set the goals
443 and obligate businesses to achieve them or be penalized. It
444 is difficult to impose RO or RPS all over the EU or the US
445 let alone in Asia and other areas around the global. But local
446 and regional goals for sustainable power generation can be and
447 are being set, met and audited.

448 Andersen and Lund discuss how these regional and com-
449 munity goals can be met. The focus on energy base load and
450 intermittency has been resolved. Both CHP and renewable en-
451 ergy are important parts of European climate change policies
452 toward sustainable development for everyone. CHP is a very
453 efficient way of transforming fuels into energy services. A
454 number of studies have investigated the methodologies of op-
455 timizing different CHP technologies in relation to variations in
456 the district heating demand and energy conservation in

buildings. Such studies have also included the development of models to optimize the use of heat storage capacities into the operation. However, the issue is for renewable energy CHP to be base load. Communities and individual (residential, business, and public sector) customers must have reliable energy supplies. However, renewables are rated as being intermittent. That is, the sun does not always shine during the day and is not available at night. Wind is even more problematic. Hence CHP as a combination of technologies with renewables (or hybrids), they can solve the base load problem [22,23].

The introduction of CHP plants into the electricity market helps the process of integration of fluctuating electricity production from renewable energy sources. Such solutions call for new organizational setups and partnerships, for software tools to be used in small and medium sized CHP. These local plants enable the combination of technologies to act as if they are “hybrids” and hence “virtual big power plants”. The Andersen and Lund paper presents the principle methodologies and tools for calculating such partnership bidding prices for regulating the power market. This process allows for the new partnerships to offer services to regional and local electricity markets, which are currently only offered by big power plants.

Clark addresses the California energy crisis and similar energy crises globally to make it clear that extreme public policies: (a) regulate versus de-regulate; and (b) public ownership versus privatization/liberalization are not the answers to sustainable development [24]. These simplistic economic theories provide misleading policy approaches that miss the actual needs of communities and environmental concerns of society itself [25]. Infrastructure systems play a critical role and must be a combination of both public and private concern, ownership, finance and operations [26,27]. Such an approach has become known as creating a “civic core” and hence the need to have “agile systems”. These local energy systems encourage and can meet public standards, help to establish and enforce rules based on public oversight.

References

- [1] UN 1987. Our common future, World commission on environment and development. Oxford University Press; 1987.
- [2] Romm Joseph J. Lean and clean management. New York, NY: Kodansha International; 1993.
- [3] Porter Michael E, van der Linde Claas. Green and competitive. Harvard Business Review 1995;September–October:120–34.
- [4] Graedel TE, Allenby BR. Industrial ecology. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall; 1995.
- [5] Saxenian Anna Lee. Regional advantage: culture and competition in Silicon Valley and Route 128. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 1994.
- [6] Clark Woodrow W. Revitalization of Silicon Valley. Technology Transfer Magazine 1993;Fall:20–8 [Washington, DC].

- [7] Clark II Woodrow W. Science parks (1): the theory. International Journal of Technology Transfer and Commercialization 2002 [Interscience, London, UK].
- [8] Clark II Woodrow W. Science parks (2): the practice. International Journal of Technology Transfer and Commercialization 2002 [Interscience, London, UK].
- [9] Clark II Woodrow W, Bradshaw Ted. Agile energy systems: global lessons from the California energy crisis. London, UK: Elsevier Press; October 2004.
- [10] Lund H, Siupsinskas G, Martinaitis V. Implementation strategy for small CHP-plants in a competitive market: the case of Lithuania. Applied Energy, in press.
- [11] Lund H, Hvelplund F, Kass I, Dukalskis E, Blumberga D. District heating and market economy in Latvia. Energy 1999;24(7):549–59.
- [12] Lund H, Hvelplund F, Ingermann K, Kask U. Estonian energy system – proposals for the implementation of a cogeneration strategy. Energy Policy 2000;28(10):729–36.
- [13] Lund H, Clark WW. Management of fluctuations in wind power and CHP comparing two possible Danish strategies. Energy 2002;27(5):471–83.
- [14] Lund H, Andersen AN. Optimal designs of small CHP plants in a market with fluctuating electricity prices. Energy Conversion and Management 2005;46(6):893–904.
- [15] Lund H, Munster E. Integrated energy systems and local energy markets. Energy Policy, in press.
- [16] Lund H. A green energy plan for Denmark – job creation as a strategy to implement both economic growth and a CO₂ reduction. Environmental and Resource Economics 1999;14(3):431–9.
- [17] Lund H, Ostergaard PA. Electric grid and heat planning scenarios with centralised and distributed sources of conventional, CHP and wind generation. Energy 2000;25(4):299–312.
- [18] Lund H, Münster E. Modelling of energy systems with a high percentage of CHP and wind power. Renewable Energy 2003;28(14):2179–93.
- [19] Lund H. Large-scale integration of wind power into different energy systems. Energy 2005;30(13):2402–12.
- [20] Rifkin Jeremy. The hydrogen economy, 2003. New York, NY: Penguin, <http://www.foet.org/JeremyRifkin.htm>; 2002.
- [21] The power to change. Economist September 15, 2005 [electronic version].
- [22] Clark II Woodrow W. Distributed generation: renewable energy in local communities. Energy Policy 2004;Fall [Elsevier, London, UK].
- [23] Clark Woodrow W, Morris Gregg. Policy making and implementation process: the case of intermittent resources. Journal of International Energy Policy December 2002 [Interscience].
- [24] Clark II Woodrow W. . The California challenge: energy and environmental consequences for public utilities. Utilities Policy November 2002 [Elsevier, UK].
- [25] Clark II Woodrow W. Greening technology. International Journal of Environmental Innovation Management 2002 [Interscience, London, UK].
- [26] Clark II Woodrow W. Innovation for a sustainable hydrogen economy, Boosting innovation from research to market. Brussels, Belgium: European Union. p. 65–7, www.partnersforinnovation.org; Spring 2004.

Clark is former Senior Policy Advisor, Energy Reliability, Governor of California (2000–2003). He is a Senior Fellow at Milken Institute, Santa Monica, CA and Managing Director, Clark Communications, Beverly Hills, CA.

Lund is Associate Professor, Department of Planning, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark. He is author of several books and articles on green energy, combined heat and power, wind modeling and sustainable development.